



Bund gegen Anpassung

Alliance against Conformity

www.bund-gegen-anpassung.com

31 October 2011

10 arguments in favour of birth control and population shrinkage

The governments distribute the shortage on behalf of their US-American overlords: Taxes for the soldiers who beat up insubordinate provinces (Afghans, Serbs and Palestinians for instance), for welfare recipients who may or may not be allowed to choose between forced labour and unemployment, and loads of unproductive watchdogs that soon are puffed up by their post, in order to keep the whole show running.

Surely: The inhabitants of the old industrial countries still do better than e.g. Black Africans or Indians, and they are supposed to feel both proud of it – that makes them handy – and guilty for it, but the head start of former time is actually fading year by year, and behind this stands, for us as well as for Indians and Black Africans, the fact downplayed by the Greens and others that the planet's surface as well as its remaining raw materials simply will not suffice for everybody, if they want to live decently.

Pupils and students! What bullshit, what big talks your teachers and professors will possibly answer to that simple conclusion?! Well, if you consider that they live, just as soldiers and policemen, only on the money that their state is able to take away from the useful or less useful rest, then you can understand why they are squirming so much – vain, bigheaded, garrulous and weakly at the same time. And have a guess why TIME magazine or Germany's STERN and other carriers of the *Gleichschaltung* (in which they sometimes get reverently interviewed) trot out exactly the same stuff. –

But there is something better than distribution of shortage plus the sway of powerful authorities:

To conform the number of men to the surface and the resources of the planet. (We have no objections against *this* kind of conformity.) And the aim actually shall be: "How can everyone live as pleasantly as possible?" instead of: "How many more will just fit onto it if everybody – except the bosses and their mouthpieces, of course – scrimps as much as possible, lives in 'environment-friendly' want and dies cost-efficiently as well as constantly sorts their waste?" (Nothing against reasonable sorting of waste, but everything against sorting of waste **instead of** adjusting the population to the optimum.) Well, there really is no place for racism in this concept, as the optimal distribution of the planet's resources will indeed not take place at the expense of e.g. the negroes, but racism is out of fashion anyway.

So the conclusion remains unchanged: As many human beings onto the planet as with an optimal distribution of its area and resources fit onto it, and not one more. This is, in a nutshell, our program.

And yet it is not so difficult to put it into reality – about 10 years ago the Europeans and maybe a few other peoples were just in the process of doing so (i.e. of creating, without any program, but intuitively its prerequisites, namely shrinking a little bit), when by our – and your, dammit! – tax money they were buried in non-stop birthing propaganda, only in order to prevent them from reducing in the long term the wonderfully wage-cutting unemployment. So it is this **political** difficulty what it's really all about when everything appears to be so terribly "tricky" – as indeed, general relief makes one prudent, less quarrelsome and thus able to feel solidarity and go on strike.

In fact it really isn't difficult, just let maths rule; but indeed it is difficult to justify inherited privileges once strikes could become successful again.

But isn't it strange: As soon as the European birth rate sank below the supplementary need, the propaganda started to shrill that the Europeans would die out, that really a lot more would need to be born, since otherwise the productive workers for those many pensioners would be missing in the next round – in Germany ahead of all the STERN (Nr. 13, 23.3.2006, Editorial "A sick society"), never forget this, as if there were neither imported wage-lowerers en masse nor the own unemployed. – Sure, you are afraid to understand that it is that simple: Because then teachers and journalists would just be common criminals, ooops! – Well, voluntarily or by force, at any rate due to the way of selection, they finally are.

Now there is one more argument: Doesn't the ubiquitous automation create unemployment anyway, independently from any birth control?

Without any doubt, but a worldwide high standard of living combined with a simultaneous reduction of working hours would be the effective antidote. Its intake is actually harmless, but incompatible with possessions and advantages gained by inheritance. It doesn't cause other problems though.

You have smelled it, and it is correct: In terms of Marx, Engels, and Lenin, we are communists. We just had to put, in contrast to them, the importance of the ratio between the number of men and the planet's resources to the fore, because they thought to be able to solve the problem before it would become urgent. But it became so, and only here lies their most serious mistake.

All right, communism is deterring, because it was the slogan of the losers. But ask yourself whether gobbling up what the telly, press and teachers say, no matter what individual hairstyle you make yourself believe to have applied to it, really is the better way. Of course our program is persecuted, our organization slandered, namely by those who gain advantages from the continuance of the opposite and who have inherited the power, but they need not be invincible.

First of all, familiarize yourselves with our program, you could, if you don't dawdle, soon find it attractive. The ten promised arguments then will occur to you just by yourselves. But who is looking for us, will find us.

PS.: Recently the biggest German daily, the "Süddeutsche Zeitung", published a whole propaganda page about the consequences of the population explosion: No more fish, no more oil, no more space – and with it came the model Afghan (Indians and Black Africans apparently have become worn-out) claiming to have suffered from the Taliban – that have fallen out of favour with the USA even if once having been built up by them against a secularised government –, and who now lives or perhaps not on a little gruel per day (oh, aren't we doing fine!!!). On top of it, the suppression of the light bulbs is vigorously defended. But across the whole huge page, the words "birth control" or "reduction of the population" are not mentioned once. Real artists! (And real whores of monoimperialism.)