



# Alliance against Conformity

## Bund gegen Anpassung

[www.bund-gegen-anpassung.com](http://www.bund-gegen-anpassung.com)

02.02.2012

## WAR AGAINST IRAN:

### Not in our Name!



The aggression against Iran has been decided upon by the U.S. years ago, as, for instance, their German vassal army ("Bundeswehr") has been learning Persian for years; years ago (in 2005), members of religious minorities (Parsees, Mandaeans, Baha'is, Nestorians) were already evacuated from Iran to the U.S. via Vienna (which makes the plan of a nuclear attack plausible, the execution of which might then be delegated to Israel, quite unloved

throughout the world and totally dependent upon the U.S., in order to distract attention from the true aggressors; even Sheiks will not stoop to performing such tasks this time). If a state is threatened to such a tremendous extent, then it is its right to provide itself with nuclear weapons as well as its menacers; in case its neighbour states stoop to providing the marshalling area for aggressors known to contemptuously and notoriously disregard International Law, aggressors who already invaded and occupied two of its neighbouring countries – the better one of them, Iraq, even exclusively on the basis of a cynical lie to be identified as such from the very start, which nevertheless the STERN magazine and similar propaganda organs had hammered excitedly and incessantly into our heads for ten years – then nothing is more understandable than its efforts to build up its capability of defending itself. Whoever places his own territory at the disposal of concentrating aggressor troops shall have to take his own death into account – the U.S. themselves, unfortunately, are too far away even for the rockets of their best-armed victims. This is the reason why one of the first official acts of our world ruler and elected de-facto Emperor with time-limit, Obama, was to authorize himself, or rather his state, to the **nuclear first blow**. As a matter of fact, this very state has up to now been the only one, after all, that did drop A-bombs, and that onto the territory of a definitely

defeated enemy, on the one hand, just because they wanted to take the opportunity to see how well these weapons really worked before war was over and mass murder of civilians would look even worse and, on the other hand, for the purpose of intimidating the Soviet Union that was also in the train of winning at that time.

The destruction of the Soviet Union always was the main goal, and as long as Islam could serve as a jummy, Khomeini was the star of the press, the Taliban were built up and armed also by means of our tax money, any Islamic monstrosity was obstinately and daily praised and fussed about as “culture”, and thus to be considered as a sacred cow; the most repulsive religious filth, from chopping off hands to stoning, was covered with continuous syrupy blethering or praised. We never joined in that chorus; to us, religions are loathsome, and those which order stoning or terrorize people with clothing regulations just to a particular extent. At the times when “everybody” – the government and the STERN magazine, school and press – were dancing attendance on the mullahs, we ourselves became quite unpopular on account of this firm attitude and clearness in speech. Do you still remember?

Although we consider all religions repulsive, as they are built up on lies – gods and miracles just don’t exist, that is why their defendants, asked about them, can only blether in a state of excitement or of being offended –, still no state whatsoever has the right to attack another one just for the reason that it dislikes the religion of the latter’s inhabitants (or the special form of its local practice). Nations’ right to self-determination is incompatible with any ifs and buts, with any Holy War (by which the Muslims provided the model to the Christians for their crusades, but caused even much more havoc among the “heathens” themselves, while they treated Christians, Jews and Parsees “only” in an discriminating way, often very badly), with any colonialism (because “these fellows are so very uneducated, after all”, which was even true in most cases, but does not justify any violation of national sovereignty) or imperialism (although U.S. Americans are all so noble-minded, aren’t they?, and therefore even have the right to torture people). Religions should be defeated by arguments, not by bombs. In case the Persians suffer from their religion, they will have to overcome religious terror by their own efforts, and in this they deserve any possible moral support. But not a military one.

But now this disgusting religion which terrorizes Iran – by means of stoning, chopping off hands, ban on satire, humiliating people by clothing regulations, or even acid attacks and rapes without any punishment – is said not to be the reason for the planned and decided war against Iran, after all; this is only and exclusively Iran’s insisting on national sovereignty, which, on the other hand, has been guaranteed – A-bomb included – to the doubtlessly not too peaceful Israel since long and without any problems. Equal rights for everybody! As we condemn military aggressions even for the purpose of eliminating monstrosities, for instance religious ones, in an attacked country (which actually never was the true reason of a war, but every time nothing but the most mendacious pretext), we do so all the more in case they are undertaken solely for the purpose of destroying national sovereignty, i.e. something that is not in the least despicable, but the precondition for **any** freedom, even if it may be applied for its exact opposite as well, of course. But only a people enjoying

freedom from oppression from outside will be able to truly attain freedom from oppression within the country for good; the French gave an example for this to the whole world in 1789 – and stakes, feudal privileges and omnipotence of the clerics were blown away. An occupied France would never have been able to achieve all this, notwithstanding any eventual syrupy tones and lies of its occupants.

Our stand was always clear: no aggression against states that had not undertaken any aggression against us. Therefore we distributed the following sticker when we were to pay for the destruction of the completely innocent Iraq on the one hand, while, on the other hand, the German citizen Helmut Hofer was arrested and threatened by the Persian mullahs on the nastiest grounds.



**Hussein never did us any harm –  
If bombing, why not Teheran?**

A Hamburg citizen sentenced to death by stoning in Iran  
This is the way a German citizen is to die  
He had only kissed a girl

Ayatollah Yazdi  
(the responsible “Islamic judge”

Where is the German against murderer Yazdi?

**No sympathy for Mullahs –  
No blood for oil!**

This does not mean that we had advocated to necessarily bomb Teheran in case the government there lays hands on a German citizen in a clearly unjustified way and does not allow him to leave the country despite urgent appeals. But **if** somebody wants to bomb other countries at any rate, then these should be *only* such countries that have attacked his own or laid hands on his fellow countrymen and which continue in their unjust deeds; on no account, however, should it be the inhabitants of innocent countries, such as Serbians, Iraqis, Libyans and many more. What a hue and cry we met with due to this position!

The attack against Libya, leaving the country under the rule of a Quisling government, the lies and venomous attacks by our loudly roaring monolithic propaganda through press and TV that were part of the campaign, have been very loathsome to us, just as much as the so-called “LEFT”, that immediately began to talk with a split tongue, as is typical for the SPD (Social Democratic Party), but at the same time – through the mouth of Bisky (chairman of the “LEFT” party) – gave the go-ahead for the invasion of Libya in the European Parliament. The Libyan people defended themselves bravely, but they did not have any chance against instigators

supported with NATO-weapons and brought into the country (“rebels”). They did not, by the way, defend their head of state, Ghaddafi, out of revolutionary enthusiasm, but because he had distributed the country’s oil revenues among them instead of distributing them among a presidential retinue or foreign recipients of tributes, which is the reason why up to very recently any Libyan enjoyed a considerably higher standard of living than average German or French citizens; above all, they scarcely had to work, got the best medical care and gratuitous university studies and did not in the least have to fear chicaneries at work or dismissal. This explains the mass loyalty of the Libyans towards their still rather extravagant leader Ghaddafi – a loyalty covered and lied away in such an absurd manner by our press – who had freed them from a stinking king’s regime, after all, quite in contrast to our hyper-super-democratic U.S. Americans. As the unison lies of the media in this case, too, are sticking very firmly in people’s brains due to their being gaplessly streamlined, roared at full blast with hammering and constant repetition at the same time, we recommend as a remedy the documentary film produced by a single powerless and non-conformist individual:



A Film by Fulvio Grimaldi

“Damned Spring”

The suppressed Truth about  
NATO’s Aggression against Libya

Film presentation and discussion with Fulvio  
Grimaldi

DVD of F. Grimaldi’s film and 2 CDs of the  
recording of Sep. 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011

at Filmtheater Schauburg, Dresden  
*Organized by: **Bund gegen Anpassung***  
(in German)

In the same way venomous attacks were hurled against Libya, against Hussein’s Iraq, destroyed by war and disarmed, or against defenceless Serbia, the propaganda on TV and in the STERN magazine, in newspapers and schools, is now venomously attacking Iran in a constant and hammering way – don’t let yourselves be bombarded with propaganda without offering resistance. Identify liars and hypocrites, and since physical resistance is not possible, offer intellectual resistance!

Such intellectual resistance doubtlessly is organized in the most honest and effective way by us. Even during the Middle Ages reading was an antidote against the omnipresent and mighty ideological tunes, and this was the very reason why the rulers of that time sought to monopolize it for themselves. Therefore: Take a book, get rid of the tube!

Any war starts with lies and propaganda tunes from the aggressor's side. Later on, people will pretend not to have known anything about it all, as has always been the case in the past. We will not be able to prevent a war against Iran, but, just as we did with regard to all wars of aggression that came about by pressure from the part of the U.S. up to now, we declare in due time, clearly and distinctly:

**NOT IN OUR NAME!**

Compare other parties' position to ours applying the standard set above.