

An Indian friend has asked us to explain to him the current situation in Europe, especially in Germany. One of our members who is a close friend of him for many years has sent him the following answer:

Dear N.N.,

I must apologize for the big delay of my answer to your letter; it is merely by personal reasons, but they did exist.

The question you put is very serious indeed: what is the situation in Europe, esp. Germany, concerning Islam? To answer it, it may be best to tell you just what happened in some way just before my eyes in my own town:

Three weeks ago, a 20-year old student girl was raped and murdered when biking home late in the night on the borders of the Dreisam, i.e. the stream dividing Freiburg in an northern and a southern half and being on its whole city length flanked by cycle paths on both sides. (That means, the place of the crime is not located in a very lonely environment!) Furthermore, by chance exactly the part of this cycle path, where the murder was committed, has been permanently videocontrolled. The corpse was found next morning in the stream, that was not deeper than 20 cm. Near to the crime scene – and this is the key to everything that follows – is a mass shelter of pseudo-refugees, more than 95% of Islamic origin.

Now, what happened? Until now, crimes of that type have been very rare in Germany, but became quite frequent since the sudden influx of pseudo-refugee crowds (that are dominant in the cityscape meanwhile in literally every German town) and never cleared up, when there was the slightest hint that they had been committed by pseudo-refugees; thus many people in my town became angry, because they (probably rightly) expected the murderer never to be found out, and less than ever punished.

So the corpse lay for some hours, not more, in the shallow water; the press communicated the girl was drowned by chance or own guilt, insinuating she was drunken. Further, the press wrote that there had been no traces of violence found on her body. – The first thing was extremely improbable (if you ever saw the Dreisam, this little stream you can safely cross by foot under normal conditions that existed and keep existing to the very time I write this letter), the second statement was a lie. So, when it leaked out that there *were* lesions on the skin of the victim, the press (i.e. the BADISCHE ZEITUNG) spread the next lie: this lesions were caused by thorns of bushes near the stream. Unfortunately, there are no thorny bushes at this section of the stream, and public attention did not cease. So finally, the press admitted what they already knew quite well from the district public prosecution authorities: the killed girl had resisted the rapist, and particles of his skin and blood had been found under her fingernails. Since that, neither officials nor the press denied in any way any longer that the girl had been **raped** and murdered.

But this means that the perpetrator can be easily identified. No DNA analysis is as easy to operate as that of sperm; especially the *race* of the perpetrator can be determined most easily, thus excluding searchings in wrong directions eventually causing avoidable harm to innocent people, in the worst case even destroying lives, and in any case wasting time and effort just when it is most needed. But by truly searching for the perpetrator, it could have been revealed

that, what is more than probable, that he was an (Islamic) pseudo-refugee, and this has to be concealed and denied by all means.

So, what did the public prosecution authority do? They spread that DNA analysis was „difficult“ because of the small amount of material found *under the victim's fingernails*! But this is, of course, not the point: *sperm* is ideal for analysis, it cannot be washed out of the corpse of a rape victim lying just some hours in cold water, and on the other hand, if no sperm had been found, how could the officials be sure of a rape? (What they primarily wanted and still are wanting is gain of time and, thus, public oblivion.) But nevertheless and in spite of that ominous „difficulty“, the same authority forced all ascertainable *acquaintances* of the victim of leaving DNA samples to them (of course without the least result, but channelling the public attention into a false way), but of course **not** from the big „refugee“ accommodation quite near the place of the crime – because of „not discriminating them“! Can there be more perfidy and a more wicked hypocrisy? – This is the state of affairs to this minute.

Now you might say that this be a single case. (The biggest cluster of similar cases, however, cannot be more than a number of single cases; but to understand and to organize them you have to understand a typical – or presumably typical – one of them first.) So let us proceed to the next case, as it happened:

Some days – only some days – after the Dreisam rape-murder, a 51-year-aged man searched some dark corner behind some bushes at an extended public place in Freiburg (probably for pissing) – I am reporting only cases from my own, comparatively small town. He was beaten up and badly ill-treated by four coloured men, who presumably were disappointed that he did not carry anything of value with him. After this assault, he managed to go home, told the story to his son, and died. The doctor attested „natural death“. But the son, angry about the unpunished murder of his father, insisted on an autopsy by competent authorities, and these, ultimately, attested that the man had clearly died by multiple violence. Again, the persecution authorities were strangely unsuccessful in identifying at least one of the perpetrators, though the crime had happened at the edge of a public place, the murderers being a rather conspicuous quartet.

To fully understand *this* story, you must know that anybody who will help to identify a dark-raced, preferably Islamic criminal, risks to be blamed and consequently outcasted by the press as a „Nazi“ or a „fascist“, with consequences very similar to those of being blamed as a Jew in the first five or seven years of the Third Reich (losing one's job, being excluded from hotel accommodation and even bank accounts, exposed to ever unpunished and eager pogromists etc. By the way, this type of calumny was chosen by Sanal Edamaraku and Ursula Dunckern against us, when we, after unsuccessfully claiming back our money from them that this Ursula had embezzled from us and transferred to Sanal in India as something like a morning gift, publicly redemanded our embezzled money [of which he was very aware] from him at the Polish Atheists' Conference. Happily, by this and an additional internet slander of the same kind he could do neither to us nor me substantial harm, but this shows quite well what kind of spirit such individuals are and how uncomplicatedly aware they are of the situation in Germany resp. Europe – it is not too difficult to understand it!). Thus, it is not very surprising that witnesses of pseudo-refugee crimes tend to be quite rare and tacit in our country. This might be illustrated by the following fact:

The first time, when the press silence about pseudo-refugee crimes could be broken, were the Cologne New Year's Eve (Silvester) night incidents, which were probably also the inducement of your question to me what is going on in Germany. The first three days of the

New Year the silence lasted without a break; but there were internet communications partly of the victims, partly of shocked witnesses who the first time in their life learnt how press, justice, and state apparatus are functioning in their country, and there was also a flyer distributed readily by us that was hated very much and promptly by the penny press „BILD-Zeitung“. So the overall press rightly found it the better way to report about the Cologne mass molestations and harassments exclusively committed by Muslim pseudo-refugees, but without mentioning this basic fact, and in a misleading, hypocritical und and hysterical manner. (E.g. they alleged that similar crimes used to happen at each Munich Oktoberfest, which is simply a lie; everybody knows that, but the fear is big, and posters were spread for millions of Euros with propaganda texts of the same kind, e.g. „Who speaks of Cologne, must not keep quiet about the Oktoberfest“ – this was presented as a „leftist“ slogan, though one should imagine leftists as opposed to rape and religion, and never had been ever anything reported about the Oktoberfest comparable to the Cologne mass rape attempts. And there were also other expensive posters of the same kind to be seen in all of Germany.) What should be noted, is:

- 1) The Cologne New Year's Eve incidents happened at a big public space in the city that was video-controlled the whole time. The identification of the perpetrators thus is very easy. As we predicted, none of the videotapes has been used or published, all criminals go unpunished for encouraging them. (Remember the Freiburg Dreisam murder that might have been video-taped too! – and the doubtlessly existing video of the bike-path section never examined or published.) But there is one „exception“:
- 2) After such a long time, *one* of the rapists was sentenced indeed – but only to a ridiculous punishment *not* to be executed. The only reason for this exception apparently was the fact that his crime was **privately** photographed and video-taped by an individual person who saw his personal friends, two women from whom he was cut off by the scrum, badly molested. Of course the city's video-tape kept being kept secret and never used (consulted) or published, so the facts resp. the course of events could no longer be denied **and never has been**. But what is worst: the rapist was allowed to appeal against even again this disgustingly mild judgement, **because the witness was alleged of having had years ago some unspecified NPD contacts** – that does not only mean that people that are in some unfalsifiable manner blamed as a „rightist“ automatically lose their right of acting as a witness – does this not strongly remember of Islamic law, of Nazi law, and of medieval Christian law?! -, but also, that facts officially do not count any longer (otherwise the court had to doubt them, what it explicitly does *not*).

A last example: In Hamburg at about the same time as the Dreisam murder happened, a couple of young people was murdered almost certainly by pseudo-refugees, probably because the young man tried to defend the girl against the rapists, who stabbed him dead, and the woman after him. Of course they went unfound and unpunished and will do so forever. But some citizens of the same city after some days tried to mourn at the place of the twin murder and to depose a wreath of mourning there (laying down a wreath of mourning is the all-European custom of honouring the deceased). So German pogromists came, beat the mourners up und destroyed hatefully the wreath for the innocent victims, insulting the mourners, of course unpunished. Can a state, be it an Islamic one or the Third Reich or any other one, be more despicable than ours? (For since decades, it is fostering and protecting and raising pogromists, that is not new; but since this New Year's Eve, there is a new quality in exercising this, a fanaticism to be observed that matches all religious and Nazi fanaticism ever documented.) - Of course you need to have friends in other towns to learn anything about those cases at all – for they will appear in the *local* press only, and even this only then, when

further silence might be feared of endangering the faith and creed in the press, thus eventually undermining its function and instrumental usefulness. Contrasted to that, you will be „informed“ about the slightest, up to now without exemption known to me imaginary insult done to any pseudo-refugee by a local. (For example, when the Freiburg student girl was raped and killed, this was never mentioned outside Freiburg – with a curious and misleading Swiss exception –, but nationwide, noisily and with big lament that, when a pseudo-refugee had tried suicide by jumping from a balcony, a local had shouted to him „Jump!“ – and even this proved quite soon to be a mere lie. In the same way, when at Dresden, some weeks before the pseudo-refugee crowds entered Europe, some asylum applier stabbed another one to death in their common residential home, the whole national press blamed the completely harmless and non-violent PEGIDA, themselves permanently harassed by state-sponsored pogromists, of that murder, and this every day after the other, though they very well and very soon knew the truth from the police. And these are just a few examples – now you can imagine the extent of menace and delirium the whole country is infested by the press to eradicate the remainder of a more enlightened, freer and more secure era that the enlightenment movement and the workers’ movement had brought into being and the destruction of which Islam fostering is instrumental (for this is the not too deeply hidden purpose of all these detestabilities).

Dear **N.N.**, do you remember what I told you about the pogromists in Germany and Europe when we first met? They did exist then also (and I never denied the existence of their Indian „colleagues“, but in your country, as it seems to me just by your own reports, they are not as consistently backed by the state as they are here.) Their activity reached a serious degree already in the long-ago Mainz pogrom we were the victims of (but only by eradication of any free speech and some minor physical injuries); but meanwhile it reached a level of violence and impunity that can be only compared with the year 1933, when our country suffered similar injustice, though against a slightly different background. And at that Mainz time the freedom of speech at least materially did expire and the public opinion, the inseparable background of Christianisation, Islamisation or de-civilisation of any kind (or their hopeful contraries), was already infested by delirious and poisonous media propaganda also at that very time, but by far not yet to the degree it is now. If you are interested about the reasons I see for that sinister and global development, I will be glad to spent time in answering you.

With Voltairian greetings, but not in Voltaire’s historically better situation,

Yours sincerely,

P.S. You may show this letter to everybody and anybody you want.