

Speech of Dr. Kerstin Steinbach in Warsaw on 29 March 2014

Ladies and gentlemen,

I am glad to have the opportunity to speak here in Warsaw about a very important topic: religion and sexual suppression. Everybody knows that both are strictly connected and that religion and sexual repression attack and plague all humans, independently of their coincidental sex. That's why I think it is very unpleasant that as speakers on our topic only clitoris-holders have been admitted. Would you accept an alleged antiracism, if in discussions only black or only white speakers were admitted?!

At first, we should remember that the origin of religions are the initiation rites. They start in paleo/neolithic times, and we find them to this day as part of the dark everyday life of some religions: Remember the regularly practiced so-called subincisions – which mean to gash the complete lower side of the penis – in South Africa. Some months ago we could read in the newspapers about hundreds of badly injured teenagers after these rites; part of them died because of wound infections, part of them lost their penis forever, part of them have to live on with totally destroyed genitals. Remember also the millions of boys who against the human right to physical integrity incur an ugly "routine" genital mutilation under the dictate of Islam or under the dictate of the US puritanism that today is laying down the guidelines to the World Health Organization – circumcision, entirely "hygienic", isn't it? And remember the millions of cruelly genitally mutilated girls in Africa – the mutilating criminals are always, without exception, women – , which is again done in the name of Islam or also in the name of tradition, "culture" that because of long its duration is alleged to be valuable, instead of in truth barbaric.

As we see, the victims of religion are children / humans with different skin colors and of both sexes.

As civilization developed - in truth as a consequence of French revolution - religions forced to finish some of these barbaric traditions, but as the core of all religions we find the persistence of suppression and control of human sexuality imposed on both sexes. To this purpose, religions organize the social inequality of the sexes and always the sexual segregation, all regularly tainted with a special misogynic diction. To this end, religions also avail themselves of perhaps rudimentary biological conditions: cooperation within the horde had evolutionarily developed by preference among its male members at first, while the consequences of the sexual drive – which as such is the same for man and woman – chiefly affected the females, if we think of the burdens of pregnancy, childbearing and breastfeeding, till the invention of efficient contraceptives. The decisive point however is that over thousands of years

inequality, sexual segregation and misogyny have been actively organized and imposed by society, namely by religion.

Therefore the fight against religion is always linked to the fight against inequality and sexual segregation. But isn't it really ugly then, let me say it again, when only clitoris-holders are admitted to discussions?

Now, the main biological trap of inequality has been put out of action by the contraceptive pill, as well as by the labor movement's achievements of legal equality, women's right to professional activities and coeducation. To these achievements the feminists did not contribute even the tiniest part, since when they started their movement, in Europe and the US around 40 years ago in the early Seventies, the contraceptive pill already existed, and so did legal equality and equal schooling for both sexes. On the contrary, the old and ugly segregation of the sexes that – thanks to the Enlightenment, to the workers' movement and to the contraceptive pill – had finally begun to die out in the developed countries, with feminism it returned to them, namely as the negative of misandry; the man ist stigmatized as a predator. Today, we permanently hear "we women...", "we women...", instead of "we humans...". This feminist mantra postulates that man and woman have fundamentally different interests – so as you see, just once more the old division of mankind. Indeed, already the word "feminism" as such proclaims a special woman-being instead of human-being. Instead of putting aside the sexual segregation, the feminists always remind everyone of their sex where it does not matter at all, that is in all social contexts. How disgraceful it is for every humanist to endure the feminist linguistic rules ("he/she"). How disgraceful the EU-dictate is according to which, under the label of "gender mainstreaming", a person's sex has to play a role in all situations and areas where it should not play any role at all. The German government puts this as follows: "Since there is no sex-neutral reality, we have to take into account the substantially different interests of men and women in all fields of society". Meanwhile under this headline the feminist substrate of inequality has been implemented into laws.

Let us sum up until this point: Any sexual segregation always humiliates both sexes and deprives all human beings of their sexual self-perception and sexual pleasure. For that reason, any humanist will always defend equality and detest any sexual segregation. And of course, it is absolutely of no interest whether humanists happen to have a black or a white skin, a penis or a clitoris.

This means: humanism instead of feminism!

The core of feminism is sexual segregation and sexual suppression. And this is the core of all classical religions as well, no matter whether Christianity, Islam, Judaism or Hinduism (for historical reasons Buddhism and Jainism are on average a bit less repressive, notably because of their serious option of escape from sexuality instead of humiliation). For this program the religions have been and are well paid and

promoted by the ruling classes of all times; often they are fused with them as well. Why? The answer is that a well-organized sexual repression produces masses of reliably obedient people. Sigmund Freud found out that the sexual behavior is exemplary for non-sexual reactions and behaviors. Persons who are perceiving their own sexual wishes against an induced conscience of guilt and who are realizing these wishes with variety and satisfaction, are not so easy to be enslaved in non-sexual areas. They are potentially uncomfortable subjects for a ruling class. For example, they will be wondering why it is allegedly necessary, by the grace of god and for all times, that some people are more equal than others, that is enjoy a sunny life on the basis of inheritance and fritter away the money that others earn for them. In other words: The enforced surrender of one's sexuality is the model for the *sacrificium intellectus*, the sacrifice of reason that is an important prerequisite for any unjust rule, that is to say a rule which cannot be justified in front of the judgment seat of reason in the sense of Voltaire. (For the rest, a thinking human will also quickly realize that these social parasites do not differ from those working for them in possessing a penis, but in possessing the relevant means of production.)

The souls do not have a sex; reason, rationality do not have a sex.

Then, what is there to say about the feminist wolves in sheep's clothing who, following the old reactionary tradition, demonize the allegedly cold-hearted male reason and celebrate the allegedly better female emotion? What about quota-women instead of performance, especially in regions where sex-neutral education has been the norm for more than half a century? And what about the same wolves in sheep's clothing who stigmatize and criminalize humans because of their coincidental male sex? Thus Susan Brownmiller, one of the important founding mothers of the meanwhile forty-years-old feminist movements, defamed all (!) men as potential rapists. In the same sense, the European model feminist Alice Schwarzer has claimed, and never revised it since, that every (!) heterosexual act is – I quote – "in itself a violent act", averse to women for biological reasons, that is without lust. Women are thus declared to be sexual cripples by nature. "Sex is work" is Schwarzer's dictum. Instead of being a pleasure for all involved, sexuality is supposed to be always a kind of prostitution, used by women to earn money or get other conveniences. You see here the feminist resurrection of the "chaste, frigid" woman who had been postulated and demanded by religions over thousands of years. And here you see again, clothed in a modern robe: the old, sad and ugly sexual segregation. Now, when this is equality, then all popes and all imams were representers of it.

Equality instead of feminism, equality instead of sexual segregation! Sexual selfdetermination instead of sexual guilty feelings induced by popes, imams and feminists.

Feminists, popes, imams are of the same flesh and kin in truth. They permanently reactivate the bad conscience for sexual wishes and activities, and this is easy to diagnose if you consider their infallible hate against beautiful, self-confident

nudeness which carries the message of sexual freedom among equals. There all popes cross themselves, and all feminists scream "sexism".

... And both are in a hurry to call for censorship. The feminists following in the popes' footprints have reactivated the medieval censorship just now, and that is why we cannot see those pictures in public anymore. And together with these pictures, also the corresponding feeling of joie de vivre has disappeared that had been spreading in the time before the feminist movement. This time I call the "Better Time", meaning the time from around 1965 to 1975. The feminists have not only imposed the censorship of those pictures, they also demand censorship of art and literature. For example, Schwarzer demands the prohibition of the famous writer Marquis de Sade because of his "sexist" books. De Sade had to suffer, as you know, half of his life in the prisons of the Inquisition. This year in the city of Essen an exhibition of the renowned painter Balthus has been censored because his pictures allegedly are "sexism" and "child pornography". These are no exceptional cases, today this is the ugly daily "routine". That is what feminism has brought us! The feminists have also paved the way to the substantially Islam-like sexual offences law on the model of the US criminal law that is being introduced also here in Europe for about 10 years now. Under the heading of an alleged fight against alleged "child abuse" and alleged "child pornography", they have imposed on us a redefinition of the word "child" comprising now by law all persons under the age of eighteen. The simple possession of nude photographs of, for example, a 16-year-old teenager is nowadays a criminal offence; voluntary sexual contacts with adolescents can nowadays be denounced and criminalized under this law, even when all persons involved are teenagers of, for instance, fifteen or seventeen years. That is what the feminism has brought us.

Now you have to listen up, because I have to tell you as a gynecologist something of interest: A week ago I attended a conference of gynecologists, a so-called vocational training, and there we were actually requested to interrogate a teenager who is coming in our doctor's consultation for a contraceptive pill prescription: "Do you really wish the sexual contact? Do you really want to have the contraceptive pill? How old is your boyfriend?" Do you recognize this tone? – "Have you sinned in words, acts and thoughts? Who has misled you thereto? How did the devil look who led you into temptation, how old was he...?" And we were directly requested to inform a so-called "child protection center" in case the age difference is three years or more, for example when the teenagers are fifteen and eighteen. We doctors are meanwhile humiliated to become undercover priests, medieval denouncers of sexual "sins", and to undertake sniffing of attitudes. That is what feminism has brought us: a rampant bad conscience for normal sexual wishes and activities, the denial of one's own sexuality under pressure and the omnipresent call for denunciation. How horrible!

Again: Equality and sexual self-determination instead of feminism! Equality means that all people independently of skin color and sex generally have the same intellectual and sexual needs and abilities.

Here, I would like to close my lecture and advise everybody who is interested to know more about the time before feminism – that "Better Time" which, to the satisfaction of the pope and the feminists, seems as far away as a fairy tale today – my book titled "There Once has been a Better Time... 1965–75 – The Hated Pictures and their Repressed Message". Luckily, this book is also available in Polish. (My second book "A look Back on Feminism – From the Outset a Lie Against Equality, Logic and Sexual Pleasure" is at present only available in German.)