



Bund gegen Anpassung

Alliance against Conformity

www.bund-gegen-anpassung.com

Every person shall have the right to life and physical integrity.
German Constitution, Art. 2(2)

Child Mutilation

in the sense of the story of »Struwwelpeter« is what the *Bundestag* (the German Parliament) is planning to allow. The judgement of the District Court in Cologne **against** child mutilation (»circumcision«) is intended to be the last one that is in accordance with the Constitution in this US colony; it is meant to be only the go-ahead for the abolishment of the most fundamental human right in this EU state which is still guaranteed by our Constitution (see above). While sexual harassment of children, which is, of course, not doing them any good and should remain punishable, is often blown up to a mega problem in an exaggerated if not hysterically shrill manner,

CHILD MUTILATION,

which **always** causes lifelong damage, is presented as being a parental right, especially that of mothers. The »Greens«, as the constant incendiaries against all achievements of the Enlightenment and the workers' movement, have already started howling and complaining how bad it would be if especially mothers were no longer allowed to have their children mutilated – »And this you call a free country where a man cannot whip his nigger?!« a US slave owner cried out in anger in analogy about 200 years ago when the slaves were finally freed and he was brought to court for having manhandled one of them.

»And this you call a free country where a man cannot whip his nigger?!«
a mother cannot mutilate her son?!«

That is the inhumane logic of the »Greens«; but the other parties in parliament are not the slightest bit better, the »Left« is only more mendacious. The only objection the latter brought forth regarding the issue of child mutilation is that they allegedly had not been granted an equal share in the anti-sons-*Wannseekonferenz* against whose ignominious contents these wretched bogus leftists do not say a single word.

CHILD MUTILATION

at the most sensitive part of the body is now meant to become a kind of human right (»the right to whip one's nigger«), even though the mutilation of a child's penis doubtlessly is a thousand times more dreadful than to grope for it, which in contrast causes a disproportionately huge fuss and criminalization even after decades (although it is – as may be repeated because of the stupid screechers paid by and allied with the state who run attacks against us – certainly ugly and should be punishable, but to a much lesser extent than the bloody and definitely permanent damage). Now, should

CHILD MUTILATION

be allowed just because it is something ethnic, an »ancient custom«? What an atrocity! Suttee, the ritual burning of widows, has been an ancient custom of the Hindus (for at least 3000 years), the burning of witches, heretics and Jews an ancient custom of the Christians (for at least 1000 years), but does *this* make these

customs less abominable? Were they to be condemned only if they had come up just recently? What a disgusting and at the same time stupid attitude!

The Indians are no longer allowed to burn their widows, the Christians no longer to burn their dissidents, competitors and, last but not least, »witches«, the Chinese may no longer mutilate women's feet (what a super-evil Mao Tsetung, wouldn't you think so? – and what a racist attitude towards the yellow, the Indian and the baptised races! One cannot eat as much as one wants to puke faced with so much absurd propaganda bullshit...[Of course some really, really clever guy could say now that the legal prohibition of the mentioned child mutilation in China had already been decreed when the downfall of the empire was brought about, but Mao has been the first to enforce its being put into practice with the adequate and necessary vigour; before him it only existed on paper – in the same way as Hitler's most determined opponents, the Bolsheviks under Lenin and Trotsky in the young Soviet Union, by the way led mainly by people with a Jewish background, forcefully put an end to the very mutilation of male children that is the subject of this leaflet.]).

So, if it only has the odour of something thoroughly ethnic (or simply barbaric, namely »ancient«), it is meant to be allowed. That is why Hitler, who forbade the Jews e.g. to have canary birds or to wear fur coats, declared quite clearly and honestly to respect their tradition of circumcision without any ifs and buts because it was an ethnic custom, and he kept his word.

LET'S BE ANTI-HITLERIAN AT LAST!

To be anti-Hitlerian means: a person's origin or religion are none of the state's business whatsoever (that also is exactly what our Constitution, which has been soiled thousands and thousands of times, says in its third article), all citizens are subject to the same laws, and no religion or, if the term has to be used at all cost, race (i.e. genuine Aryans, that is Indians, as well as Chinese, for instance) is to be given anything extra-special. Nothing is easier to understand, but nothing is being perverted in a more obscene way.

Christians are no longer allowed to burn heretics, although that was part of their religion for many centuries, Hindus are no longer allowed to burn widows, but still both of them are allowed to believe whatever they want wherever the freedom of religion is guaranteed, as required by the Constitution and rightly appreciated by us (freedom, which, after all, has to be valid for all small and new religions, too, without any ifs and buts). At the same time, they must be allowed to carry through any rituals without being molested as long as participation in them is either voluntary or at least definitely does not lead to any physical damage. But when it comes to assaults against children – and this does not only mean sexual assaults – there has to be put a stop to them!

So, Christians and Hindus are no longer allowed to burn human beings. In the same way, Muslims and Jews should no longer be allowed to mutilate children (but all of them are, as a matter of course, allowed to believe and pray completely unmolestedly, conditions we demand also for e.g. Scientologists and Jehovah's Witnesses, but unfortunately also have to demand from those who are abominably soiling the Constitution, but are in possession of power and the press). In the same way, any **adult** sound of mind should be allowed to have himself mutilated as much as he wishes to, even out of religious nuttiness; just compare this with the fuss that young adults are confronted with when they utter their mostly absolutely rational and sound wish to become sterilised: what amount of patronizing bursts out in this case with a hue and cry along with the argument of »irreversibility«, just as if births and genital mutilations were all of a sudden reversible. Enlightened and humane-minded Jews, too, have been repudiating, for a long time already, this bloody atrocity which continues the most horrible customs of the »savages« – see some photographs of these Jews below:



New York Jews demonstrating in front of the German General Consulate in New York on 13 July 2012 in support of the judgement of the District Court in Cologne. The man in the middle is wearing a T-shirt with the slogan »I♥foreskin« (Photo dpa.)



26 August 2011: Demonstration of the organization »Jews for the Rights of the Child« against genital mutilation – »Genital Integrity is a human right«



Demonstration against genital mutilation in front of a clinic in San Francisco in July 2011.

We shouldn't stab them in the back in Hitler's spirit presented above, let us protect humaneness and physical integrity against fire and knife!

The forces of inhumanity are sitting in parliament which they have monopolized by suppressing the freedom of opinion (for example, by means of the German *Berufsverbote* [politically motivated professional bans], prohibitions to let or hire lecture rooms, the maintenance of the Gestapo rebaptized »Office for the Protection of the Constitution«) and a complete and gapless TV-press-unison, so that there is no parliamentary opposition any more besides *fakes* that are replaced every ten years. This fact becomes most evident in our scandalous example: the constitutional and law-abiding judgement of the Cologne court most probably only was the go-ahead agreed upon beforehand for a press campaign also prepared beforehand, behind the smoky haze of which **all** cartel parties cooked up **with lightning speed** and **stealthily** a draft bill intended to openly abolish children's right to physical integrity as far as religious rituals are concerned. Gloatingly, a prominent representative of the Jewish community (and of the Greens!), whose Central Council since long is actually more of an instrument of US interference with the internal affairs of our country than a civil organization representing a religious minority, commented on this on *Deutschlandfunk* (a German radio station), there would certainly not be any plaintiffs who would bring the planned law (on grounds of its openly unconstitutional character) before the Constitutional Court, and unfortunately this is true – since, on the one hand, the only ones entitled to do so as the direct victims are infants without rights and, on the other hand, the parliamentary parties are streamlined lackeys of their masters from overseas. For that's where this atrocity comes from, just like most of the acts which deprive the Europeans – who previously enjoyed a state of at least national wealth and of independence instead of the present humiliating situation of being kept in strings and soaked out – of their rights (and this is going on hidden behind the anonymity of a faceless EU government which none the less reliably passes on the orders given by its transatlantic masters, as is proven, for instance, by the recent introduction of the anti-humane, actually US-puritan, criminal law on sexual offenses or the anti-alcohol propaganda campaign).

As a matter of fact, many people let themselves be tricked by the propaganda that there were any differences between our cartel parties or present parliamentary parties, respectively, and those who believe so should not be immediately blamed for that because of the gapless and incessant propaganda at schools and in the press to which they have been exposed from childhood. Being faced with the imminent atrocity of the bill's adoption by parliament which would explicitly legalize the religiously motivated mutilation of children, there is a good opportunity to verify the content of reality in this thought, which we ourselves deem to be but the result of suggestion and intimidation, far from corresponding with the real facts.

Ask the local representative of the party you have voted for about his party's position and perhaps his own attitude regarding the planned breach of the Constitution for the purpose of legalizing the religiously (or even ethnically) motivated mutilation of children! Make the carrierist (whose sex is irrelevant here, of course!) give a clear answer and nail him or her down to it!

. - .

The planned atrocity has its history, the beginnings of which are to be traced back to the darkest Stone Age. To those who wish to inform themselves and form their own opinion calmly and, above all, to **understand** the background to it, we strongly recommend the book by the classical psychoanalyst (and Jew, as is often to be noticed with enlightened people, at least as regards the time before Hitler) Theodor Reik:



And more recent information on this monstrosity being pushed through on a world-wide scale is to be found in:



(These publications can be ordered only in German language.)

Time is running short!

Be prepared: following the distribution of this leaflet, the unison press will launch a propaganda battle in order to play down the horror and consequences of »circumcision« – one mutilated person after the other will be presented with a fitting sob story (and just incidentally and inconspicuously, a single individual victim rather awkwardly play a cover-up role in presenting his own story), even reporting all kinds of advantages he enjoys as a result of his mutilation. As a first step to arm oneself against the tsunami of propaganda in which numerous state-dependent »experts« certainly will take part, we recommend the occupation with **serious scientific research** as an antidote – e.g. the Danish study »Male circumcision and sexual function in men and women: a survey-based, cross-sectional study in Denmark« (M. Frisch et al., Int. J. Epidemiol. 2011;1–15). And though this study, too, proves that playing down the consequences of this bodily injury is based on downright lies or autosuggestion (or the lack of any contrary experience): there is also no right whatsoever to any allegedly »harmless«, if not miraculously advantageous, mutilation of individuals that are unable to defend themselves. (Whoever wants to have himself mutilated as a major, is, however, free to do so.) And otherwise, we simply recommend, provided you possess an (intact) penis, to make the experiment of wearing a pair of underpants with your foreskin retracted for just 1 hour under normal conditions: a quite unpleasant experience, indeed! No doubt, one can get used to anything; but this applies to all other kinds of amputations, too.